Submitted to AAS Journals, 21 November 2016
Preprint typeset using LaTeX style AASTeX6 v. 1.0

arXiv:1611.07080v1 [astro-ph.SR] 21 Nov 2016

K2 ULTRACOOL DWARFS SURVEY I: PHOTOMETRY OF AN L DWARF SUPERFLARE

John E. Gizis, Rishi R. Paudel
Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA

Sarah J. Schmidt
Leibniz-Institute for Astrophysics Potsdam (AIP), An der Sternwarte 16, 14482, Potsdam, Germany

Peter K. G. Williams
Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

Adam J. Burgasser
Center for Astrophysics and Space Science, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

ABSTRACT

We report on K2 Campaign 8 measurements of a huge white light flare on the L1 dwarf SDSSp J005406.55-003101.8 (EPIC 220186653). The source is a typical L1 dwarf at a distance of ~ 50 pc, probably an old hydrogen-burning star rather than a young brown dwarf. In the long (30-minute) cadence photometry, the flare peak is 21 times the flux of the stellar photosphere in the broad optical *Kepler* filter, which we estimate corresponds to $\Delta V \approx -7.1$. The total equivalent duration of the flare is 15.4 hr. We estimate the total bolometric energy of the flare was 4×10^{33} erg, more powerful that the previously reported *Kepler* white light flares for the L1 dwarf WISEP J190648.47+401106.8, but weaker than the $\Delta V = -11$ L0 dwarf superflare ASASSN-16ae. The initial (impulsive) cooling phase is too rapid to resolve with our 30-minute cadence data, but after one hour the gradual cooling phase has an exponential time constant of 1.8 hours. We use template fitting to estimate that the full-time-width-at-half-amplitude of the light curve is < 10 minutes and that the true flare maximum reached ~ 70 times the stellar photosphere, or $\Delta V \approx -8$. This flare is comparable to the most powerful *Kepler* flares observed on the active M4 dwarf GJ 1243.

Keywords: brown dwarfs — stars: activity — stars: flare — stars: chromospheres — stars: low-mass — stars: individual: SDSSp J005406.55-003101.8

1. INTRODUCTION

Building on the long history of ground-based photometry of flares from main sequence stars (see Gershberg 2005 for a complete overview), the precision and time coverage of the *Kepler* mission (Koch et al. 2010) has revitalized the study of white light flares (Walkowicz et al. 2011). Davenport (2016) has recently published a catalog of over 850,000 stellar flares detected on 4041 stars during the original *Kepler* mission. Important *Kepler*-based studies include the characterization of "super-flares" (with bolometric energy $> 10^{33}$ erg) on solar-type stars (Maehara et al. 2012; Candelaresi et al. 2014; Maehara et al. 2015) and flares on both active and inactive M-dwarf stars (Hawley et al. 2014). Perhaps the best studied flare star with *Kepler* is the rapidly rotating, nearby M4 dwarf GJ 1243, which was monitored for eleven months in short (one-minute) cadence mode, resulting in the detection of numerous well-characterized flares with *Kepler*-band energies $10^{29} - 10^{33}$ erg (Ramsay et al. 2013; Davenport et al. 2014; Silverberg et al. 2016). The extended K2 mission (Howell et al. 2014) allows many new fields to be monitored with the *Kepler* photometer, enabling studies of additional nearby M dwarfs (Ramsay & Doyle 2015; Stelzer et al. 2016).

White light flares from L dwarfs are rare enough that targeted ground-based photometric campaigns have not detected them (Ramsay et al. 2015), but Gizis et al. (2013) detected 21 white light flares from the nearby L1 dwarf WISEP J190648.47+401106.8 (here-

after W1906+40) in three months of *Kepler* short-cadence monitoring. These flares had estimated bolometric energies in the range 6×10^{29} to 1.6×10^{32} erg. A much more powerful L dwarf flare was later discovered during a ground-based supernova search: the flare ASASSN-16ae on the L0 dwarf SDSS J053341.43+001434.1 (hereafter S0533+00) was observed at $\Delta V = -11$ magnitudes (Schmidt et al. 2016). Its total estimated bolometric energy of $> 6.2 \times 10^{34}$ erg is only a lower limit to the flare energy since the light curve was sparsely sampled. These flares must be related to chromospheric and coronal activity as in active GKM main sequence stars, yet Hα chromospheres and X-ray coronae are known to weaken and disappear for cooler L dwarfs (Gizis et al. 2000; Berger et al. 2010; Schmidt et al. 2015) as the stellar rotation-activity relation breaks down (see Cook et al. 2014 and references therein.) High-resolution spectroscopy suggests all L0-L1 dwarfs are rapid rotators, implying they do not significantly spin down even over billions of years (Reiners & Basri 2008). Some 90% of L0 dwarfs and 67% of L1 dwarfs show chromospheric Hα emission when observed at sufficiently high resolution and signal-to-noise, but the strength of their chromospheres as measured by $L_{\rm H\alpha}/L_{\rm bol}$ is an order of magnitude weaker than in dMe stars, implying early-L dwarfs have cooler chromospheres with lower filling factors (Schmidt et al. 2015). The underlying physical reasons for this decline in traditional activity indicators are thought to be the increasing neutrality and resistance of the outer atmosphere (Mohanty et al. 2002), but additional factors such as changes in the mode of magnetic reconnection (Mullan 2010) or dynamo modes (Cook et al. 2014) may play important roles. We note as well that the Hα emission of some ultracool dwarfs may be auroral, rather than chromospheric, in nature (Hallinan et al. 2015; Pineda et al. 2016).

We have been monitoring L dwarfs that lie in each K2 campaign field of view in both long and short cadence mode, with the aim of detecting flares, transits, and cloud variability. Here we report the detection of a white light flare on an L1 dwarf with a total energy of 4×10^{33} erg, and measure the gradual decay phase of an L dwarf flare for the first time. We present the target and K2 data in Section 2, fit model flare templates in Section 3, and discuss the results in Section 4.

2. TARGET CHARACTERISTICS AND DATA

2.1. *Properties of SDSSp J005406.55−003101.8*

The discovery of the L1 dwarf SDSSp J005406.55−003101.8 (hereafter S0054−00) was first reported by Schneider et al. (2002) and Hawley et al. (2002), who each presented optical spectra. Schmidt et al. (2015) presented a higher signal-to-noise Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey (BOSS) optical spectrum which confirms the L1 spectral type. Cross-correlating this spectrum with the Schmidt et al. (2014) L1 dwarf template, we measure $v_{\rm rad} = -14.4 \pm 14.3$ km s^{-1}. We set a 3-sigma limit of 5Å on the equivalent width of the Hα emission which implies $\log(L_{\rm H\alpha}/L_{\rm bol}) < -5.0$. The Schmidt et al. (2015) survey found the average $\log(L_{\rm H\alpha}/L_{\rm bol}) = -5.31$ for L1 dwarfs, so S0054−00 was not unusually active when the BOSS spectrum was taken, and indeed may have a typical chromospheric activity level. Bardalez Gagliuffi et al. (2014) obtained a near-infrared spectrum and also classified S0054−00 as an (apparently single) L1 dwarf. We measure the proper motion from a linear fit to the 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006), SDSS (Aihara et al. 2011), and WISE (Wright et al. 2010) positions. From the observed SDSS optical and 2MASS infrared magnitudes, the Dupuy & Liu (2012) parallax compilation, and the latest color-absolute-magnitude relations (Schmidt et al., in prep.), we estimate a distance of $d = 50.9 \pm 9.6$ pc. The observed and derived properties of the target are given in Table 1. From its colors and spectra, S0054−00 appears to be an typical field L1 dwarf, and with a galactic space component motion V $= -46 \pm 13$ km s^{-1}, it is most likely to be an old (age $>$ 1 billion years) hydrogen-burning star (see Dieterich et al. 2014). From the $V - J$ colors of other L1 dwarfs (Dahn et al. 2002; Dieterich et al. 2014) we estimate that the apparent magnitude is $V \approx 24.1$.

Table 1. Properties of S0054−00

Parameter	Value
Photometric	
r	22.50 ± 0.15
i	20.09 ± 0.03
$\widetilde{K}_p$	20.5 ± 0.1
z	18.25 ± 0.02
J	15.73 ± 0.05
H	14.89 ± 0.05
K_S	14.38 ± 0.07
$W1$	14.00 ± 0.03
$W2$	13.76 ± 0.05
Kinematic	
d $(M_i/i - K_S)$ [pc][a]	50.9±9.6
μ_α [mas yr^{-1}]	194.14±18.24
μ_δ [mas yr^{-1}]	−148.01±37.83
V_{tan} [km s^{-1}]	58.9±14.3
V_{rad} [km s^{-1}]	−14.4±14.3
U [km s^{-1}]	7.1±8.0
V [km s^{-1}]	−45.5±13.4

Table 1 continued

Table 1 *(continued)*

Parameter	Value
W [km s^{-1}]	4.6±13.7

[a] Based on Schmidt et al. (2016) in prep

2.2. *K2 Photometry*

K2 measured S0054−00 as EPIC 220186653 during Campaign 8 (2016 Jan 3 - 2016 Mar 23) in long cadence (30 minute) mode (Jenkins et al. 2010). There are 3445 good quality measurements over 78.6 days (Kepler mission dates 2559.11 to 2637.75); each data point is the average flux during a 29.4 minute period. We measure aperture photometry from the pixel files using the Astropy-affiliated photutils package. Rather than centroid on the noisy target in each frame, we adopt a best position based on the median of all centroid measurements, and then adjust it for each observation using the spacecraft motion estimate calculated by the mission (recorded as POS_CORR1 and POSS_CORR2 in the FITS file headers). For the eight hours before the flare, the median count rate is 84.5 count s^{-1} through both 2 and 3 pixel apertures; we adopt the 2 pixel aperture photometry for our analysis. The broad Kepler filter extends from 430nm to 900nm. As discussed by Gizis et al. (2013), an L1 dwarf photosphere contributes significant counts only from the reddest part of this range, but hot flares contribute through the range and therefore have a higher mean energy per observed count. *Kepler* and K2 targets are described on the K_p magnitude system (Brown et al. 2011) tied to optical *gri* photometry. Lund et al. (2015), Aigrain et al. (2015) and Libralato et al. (2016) find that for most stars K_p predicts the observed count rate well, with a zero-point of 25.3 for a 3-pixel aperture. However, because L dwarfs are much redder than most *Kepler* targets and often lack *gri* photometry, input K_p magnitudes do not describe the observed count rate well for ultracool dwarfs. We follow Lund et al. (2015) in defining $\widetilde{K}_p \equiv 25.3 - 2.5\log(\mathrm{flux})$, where $\widetilde{K}_p \approx K_p$ for most (e.g., AFGK-type) stars. Under this system, $\widetilde{K}_p = 20.5$ for our target.

At *Kepler* mission day 2595.784194, the target brightens to 1880 count s^{-1} ($\widetilde{K}_p = 17.1$, $\Delta\widetilde{K}_p = 3.4$); by the next 30 minute cadence, it faded to 380 count s^{-1}, and then continued to decline over the next several hours (Figure 1). The fast rise and slow/exponential decline is typical of stellar flares in the Kepler band. Davenport et al. (2014) described the Kepler light curve of an M4 flare star as double exponential, consisting of both an "impulsive" decay component which dominates at early times and a "gradual" decay component which dominates at late time. We adopt this terminology. The initial impulsive rise and decay is not resolved in our time series, implying the full time width at half the maximum flux ($t_{1/2}$, Kowalski et al. 2013) is less than 30 minutes, but a gradual decay component is clearly detected. Maehara et al. (2015) define the "duration" of the flare as the "e-folding decay time of flare intensity after its peak," which also must be less than 30 minutes; our fits discussed in the next section suggest the value of $\sim 7-10$ minutes. Hawley et al. (2014) define "duration" instead as the difference between the start and end times, meaning the times when the flare flux is detectable above the photospheric flux. The duration under this definition is 5 hours. The total equivalent duration, which is related to the total energy of the flare, is equal to the total integrated counts of the flare divided by the photosphere count rate (Gershberg 1972; Hawley et al. 2014), 15.4 hours in the *Kepler* filter. Equivalent duration is bandpass-dependent: In V-band, the equivalent duration was ~ 17 days.

In order to compare to the V-band measurements of the ASASSN-16ae flare, we can adopt A-star corrections ($V - K_p = -0.1$) based on the magnitude transformations given in Brown et al. (2011) and Huber et al. (2016) to estimate the observed peak of the flare was $V = 17.0$ and therefore $\Delta V = -7.1$. The observed peak is the average over a 30 minute period which likely underestimates the true peak brightness of the flare but the integrated counts are correct. A number of different approaches have been used to describe the energy of flares detected by Kepler. Walkowicz et al. (2011) and Candelaresi et al. (2014) report energies assuming the flare is a blackbody of temperature 10,000K. Using the L1 dwarf and 10,000K blackbody calibrations described by Gizis et al. (2013), we find a total flare energy of $\sim 4 \times 10^{33}$ erg. It is also useful to consider the observed energies through the Keper filter. Hawley et al. (2014) report instead "Kepler energies" (E_K) which are tied to the observed spectrum of the M4 flare dwarf GJ 1243 and its input catalog magnitude . These cannot be directly compared to our analysis because the L1 dwarf is much cooler than GJ 1243.[1] Integrating the S0054−00 spectrum over the *Kepler* response function, we find the stellar photospheric flux is 9.9×10^{-18} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2} Å^{-1}, but a 10,000K blackbody is 1.3 times more energetic for the same count rate. Multiplying by the width of the filter (4000Å), $4\pi d^2$, and the equivalent duration, we find $E_K = 9 \times 10^{32}$ erg. We caution that all conversions to energy are uncertain at least the $\sim 20\%$ level. Following

[1] Another difficulty is that K_p system was not designed to predict M dwarf brightnesses: In the case of GJ 1243, although $K_p = 12.7$, we measure $\widetilde{K}_p = 11.65$ from the *Kepler* pixel data.

the methods of Schmidt et al. (2016), we estimate that at its peak, the flare covered less than 0.5% of the stellar surface.

3. TEMPLATE FITTING

With a total estimated energy of 4×10^{33} erg, the S0054−00 flare lies between the strongest flare observed on the L dwarf W1906+40 ($\sim 1.6 \times 10^{32}$ erg, Gizis et al. 2013; we hereafter call this "the W1906+40 flare") and the strongest L dwarf flare, ASASSN-16ae ($> 6.2 \times 10^{34}$ erg,Schmidt et al. 2016). The ASSASN-16ae curve was only sparsely sampled; the advantage of the S0054−00 flare is that the full light curve, especially the gradual decay phase, was observed. This allows us to characterize the late cooling phases of such an energetic flare for the first time.

We first consider the late-time, gradual decay phase of the flare. After normalizing by the non-flaring photosphere (84.5 count s^{-1}) and measuring from the time of the flare ($\Delta t = t - 2595.78419378$), we fit[2] an exponential decay curve to the points from 1 to 5.5 hours after the peak:

$$\Delta F = Be^{-\gamma_g \Delta t} \quad (1)$$

We find $B = 2.94 \pm 0.22$ and $-\gamma_g = -13.6 \pm 1.0$ days^{-1}; this fit is shown in Figure 1. The exponential time constant ($\tau_g = 1/\gamma_g$) is 1.8 hr. Silverberg et al. (2016) argue that in case of flares on the M4.5 dwarf GJ 1243, the gradual decay phase should be considered to be due to a "physically distinct" region from the impulsive decay phase, due to perhaps a "different spatial region, different atmospheric layer, or different cooling process." In the W1906+40 flare, which was observed spectroscopically, the Kepler impulsive and gradual decay phases traced the white light emission and broadened Hα, but atomic (chromospheric) emission lines were much longer lived.

To investigate the impulsive phase of the flare we turn to model templates based on other white light flares to infer what may have happened during the first hour of the flare. Ground-based photometry of flares show a great diversity of light curves, but remarkably, Davenport et al. (2014) found that a single flare template (hereafter the D14 template) fit most *Kepler* flares on the M4 dwarf GJ 1243, once the flares were scaled by their maximum amplitude (A) and full width at half time ($t_{1/2}$). The rapid rise is described by a fourth order polynomial, and their decay template has two exponentials, which we write as:

$$\Delta F = A(\alpha_i e^{-\gamma_i \Delta t/t_{1/2}} + \alpha_g e^{-\gamma_g \Delta t/t_{1/2}}) \quad (2)$$

The D14 template parameters are $\alpha_i = 0.6890(\pm 0.0008)$, $\alpha_g = 0.3030(\pm 0.0009)$, $\gamma_i = 1.600(\pm 0.003$ and $\gamma_g = 0.2783(\pm 0.0007)$. This template also fits the W1906+40 flare, where we find $t_{1/2} = 6.9$ minutes, and was used by Schmidt et al. (2016) to fit ASASSN-16ae to find $t_{1/2}$ in the range 3 (best fit) to 6.2 (minimal fit) minutes. We fit the D14 template to our flare by computing it on one minute cadence timescale and averaging down to the long cadence timescale. Because we are interested in the impulsive phase, we fit to only the first 1.5 hours of the flare. The results are shown in Figure 2. One hundred randomly drawn model fits from the posterior distribution are shown in red. The derived parameters are flare amplitude $A = 63.9^{+1.0}_{-0.9}$, $t_{1/2} = 6.69 \pm 0.14$ minutes, and flare time $t_{max} = 2595.77636 \pm 0.00017$ dy. It is clear from Figure 2 that the late time evolution is not well fit by this template. We can show this directly from our gradual phase fit: For the D14 template to reduce to Equation 1 at late times, the maximum amplitude of the flare would be $2.94/0.303 = 9.7$ times the photospheric count rate and $t_{1/2} = 0.2783/13.6$ day = 29.5 min, but this amplitude is too low and the timescale is too long compared to the K2 observations. Unfortunately, the S0054−00 long cadence data does not contain enough content to allow all the possible flare parameters of Equation 1 to be fit independently. To illustrate alternative possibilities, we also make use of template parameters that fit a very impulsive flare on an M7 brown dwarf (Gizis et al., in prep): $\alpha_i = 0.9233$, $\alpha_g = 0.0767$, $\gamma_i = 1.3722$, and $\gamma_g = 0.1163$. Using those template parameters, $A = 69.9 \pm 5.5$, $t_{1/2} = 7.8^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$ min, and $t_{max} = 2595.77918 \pm 0.00050$ days. The light curve for this fit is a better match to the gradual decay phase. Although our lack of detailed, independent knowledge of the impulsive flare light curve means we cannot be precise on the true amplitude and timescale of the S0054−00 flare, the template fitting exercise suggests that the timescale ($t_{1/2}$) is less than 10 minutes and that the true flare maximum may have been approximately seventy times the mean photospheric value ($\Delta \widetilde{K}_p \approx -4.6$) implying $\Delta V \approx -8$.

4. DISCUSSION

All three flaring L dwarfs appear to be old, hydrogen-burning stars with ages measured in the billions of years; indeed S0533+00 (ASASSN-16ae) is likely a thick-disk star, perhaps ten billion years old. White light flares and superflares may be common to all early-L dwarfs

[2] All fits reported in this paper are the result of Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampling of at least 1.5 million samples of the posterior function using emcee (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013) with uniform priors.



Figure 1. K2 photometry of a flare on SDSSp J005406.55-003101.8. Time zero on this plot is *Kepler* mission day 2595.78419378. The cyan curve shows our fit to the late-time exponential decay (Equation 1); the extrapolation back to the time of the flare is shown as the dashed curve. The count rate outside of the flare was 84.5 count s^{-1}.

regardless of age. Evidently the rapid rotation and weak spin-down of this class of stars supports a dynamo that generates robust magnetic fields and reconnection events that can exceed solar flare energies. Maehara et al. (2015) calculate superflares of energy 10^{33} occur about once every seventy years on the Sun, which contrasts with the one superflare observed in 1/5 of a year of monitoring S0054−00 with K2. However, a more realistic, and lower, flare rate will come by considering all of the early-L dwarfs monitored by through its full K2 mission. Long-term monitoring of S0054−00 may reveal additional flares — we note that the Catalina Real-Time Transient Survey (Drake et al. 2009) found S0054−00 to be approximately one magnitude brighter than normal in January 2006 (MJD 53744.14) in unfiltered CCD images. This may have been a white light flare. Schmidt et al. (2016) use the ASASSN data to estimate a flare rate of approximately one in six years for L0 dwarf flares with energy 10^{34} erg.

For all three known flaring L dwarfs, the flare duration as measured by the e-folding timescale is < 10 min for energies $10^{33} - 10^{34}$ erg, which agrees with the observed duration of G dwarf superflares (Maehara et al. 2015) with the same energy despite the much different stellar size and effective temperatures. Given the similarity of the L dwarf flares to stellar flares, it is interesting to compare them to the solar flare simulations of Aulanier et al. (2013) which can account for flares and superflares in G dwarfs, although we caution that physical conditions may be different. They find the following scaling with maximum magnetic field strength and linear separation between the bipoles ($L_{\rm dipole}$) :

$$E = 0.5 \times 10^{32} \left(\frac{B_z^{\rm max}}{1000G}\right)^2 \left(\frac{L^{\rm bipole}}{50{\rm Mm}}\right)^3 {\rm erg} \qquad (3)$$

.

If we use the radius of the L1 dwarf ($0.09R_\odot$, Dieterich et al. 2014) as the length scale, corresponding to a pair of large starspots (active regions) separated by $\sim 60°$, then maximum magnetic field strengths of 6000G are needed for the S0054−00 superflare. Berger (2006) report magnetic fields of $\sim$ 1000G in much less energetic ultracool dwarf radio flares, so such a field in an unusually strong flare seems plausible. For ASASSN-16ae, increasing the volume by a factor of 10 (such as with spots on the opposite sites of the star) and increasing the field to 8000G could account for the observed energy. While the lengths needed to produce superflares



Figure 2. K2 photometry with illustrative flare light curve fits. The original Davenport flare light curve template is shown in red, and an alternative template, based on a fit to an M7 brown dwarf flare, is shown in blue. In each class, 100 randomly chosen fits from the posterior distribution are plotted. All the fits suggest that if observed on a shorter cadence, the flare amplitude would have been much higher ($\Delta K_p \approx -4.6$) if sampled on one minute timescales instead of averaged over 30 minutes. See the text for further discussion. Time zero on this plot is mission time 2595.78419378.

with $E > 10^{35}$ erg may be possible in solar-type stars, the small sizes of L dwarfs suggest that they will not have higher energy superflares unless extremely strong (> 10kG) magnetic fields are possible.

L dwarf superflares offer significant opportunities to study the later phases of a superflare, particularly the gradual cooling phase. In solar-type stars, the bright photosphere makes even the peak emission at best a few percent effect, and following the late decay of a superflare in white light would require extraordinary precision. For L dwarfs, however, the photospheric contribution is greatly reduced. In the flare presented here, a trigger from a photometric survey like LSST with 30 minute response time on a 8-meter class telescope would allow hours of spectroscopy of both the white light component and atomic emission lines. Such observations will yield new constraints on the cooling of stellar photospheres in response to impulsive energy injections.

We thank James Davenport, Dermott Mullan, and Rachel Osten for helpful discussion of stellar flares. This paper includes data collected by the Kepler mission. Funding for the Kepler mission is provided by the NASA Science Mission directorate. The material is based upon work supported by NASA under award Nos. NNX15AV64G, NNX16AE55G, and NNX16AJ22G. A.J.B. acknowledges funding support from the National Science Foundation under award No. AST-1517177.

This research has made use of NASA's Astrophysics Data System, the SIMBAD database and he VizieR catalogue access tool, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France, the NASA/ IPAC Infrared Science Archive, which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with NASA, and the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST). Support for MAST for non-HST data is provided by the NASA Office of Space Science via grant NNX09AF08G and by other grants and contracts. This publication makes use of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and

the National Science Foundation and from the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer, which is a joint project of the University of California, Los Angeles, and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. This publication also makes use of data from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey. Funding for the Sloan Digital Sky Survey IV has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science, and the Participating Institutions. SDSS-IV acknowledges support and resources from the Center for High-Performance Computing at the University of Utah. The SDSS web site is www.sdss.org. SDSS-IV is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium for the Participating Institutions of the SDSS Collaboration, which can be found at http://www.sdss.org/collaboration/affiliations/

Software: AstroPy (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013), photutils, emcee (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013)

Facility: Kepler

REFERENCES

Aigrain, S., Hodgkin, S. T., Irwin, M. J., Lewis, J. R., & Roberts, S. J. 2015, MNRAS, 447, 2880

Aihara, H., Allende Prieto, C., An, D., et al. 2011, ApJS, 193, 29

Astropy Collaboration, Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., et al. 2013, A&A, 558, A33

Aulanier, G., Démoulin, P., Schrijver, C. J., et al. 2013, A&A, 549, A66

Bardalez Gagliuffi, D. C., Burgasser, A. J., Gelino, C. R., et al. 2014, ApJ, 794, 143

Berger, E. 2006, ApJ, 648, 629

Berger, E., Basri, G., Fleming, T. A., et al. 2010, ApJ, 709, 332

Brown, T. M., Latham, D. W., Everett, M. E., & Esquerdo, G. A. 2011, AJ, 142, 112

Candelaresi, S., Hillier, A., Maehara, H., Brandenburg, A., & Shibata, K. 2014, ApJ, 792, 67

Cook, B. A., Williams, P. K. G., & Berger, E. 2014, ApJ, 785, 10

Dahn, C. C., Harris, H. C., Vrba, F. J., et al. 2002, AJ, 124, 1170

Davenport, J. R. A. 2016, ApJ, 829, 23

Davenport, J. R. A., Hawley, S. L., Hebb, L., et al. 2014, ApJ, 797, 122

Dieterich, S. B., Henry, T. J., Jao, W.-C., et al. 2014, AJ, 147, 94

Drake, A. J., Djorgovski, S. G., Mahabal, A., et al. 2009, ApJ, 696, 870

Dupuy, T. J., & Liu, M. C. 2012, ApJS, 201, 19

Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D. W., Lang, D., & Goodman, J. 2013, PASP, 125, 306

Gershberg, R. E. 1972, Ap&SS, 19, 75

—. 2005, Solar-Type Activity in Main-Sequence Stars (Springer), doi:10.1007/3-540-28243-2

Gizis, J. E., Burgasser, A. J., Berger, E., et al. 2013, ApJ, 779, 172

Gizis, J. E., Monet, D. G., Reid, I. N., et al. 2000, AJ, 120, 1085

Hallinan, G., Littlefair, S. P., Cotter, G., et al. 2015, Nature, 523, 568

Hawley, S. L., Davenport, J. R. A., Kowalski, A. F., et al. 2014, ApJ, 797, 121

Hawley, S. L., Covey, K. R., Knapp, G. R., et al. 2002, AJ, 123, 3409

Howell, S. B., Sobeck, C., Haas, M., et al. 2014, PASP, 126, 398

Huber, D., Bryson, S. T., Haas, M. R., et al. 2016, ApJS, 224, 2

Jenkins, J. M., Caldwell, D. A., Chandrasekaran, H., et al. 2010, ApJL, 713, L120

Koch, D. G., Borucki, W. J., Basri, G., et al. 2010, ApJL, 713, L79

Kowalski, A. F., Hawley, S. L., Wisniewski, J. P., et al. 2013, ApJS, 207, 15

Libralato, M., Bedin, L. R., Nardiello, D., & Piotto, G. 2016, MNRAS, 456, 1137

Lund, M. N., Handberg, R., Davies, G. R., Chaplin, W. J., & Jones, C. D. 2015, ApJ, 806, 30

Maehara, H., Shibayama, T., Notsu, Y., et al. 2015, Earth, Planets, and Space, 67, 59

Maehara, H., Shibayama, T., Notsu, S., et al. 2012, Nature, 485, 478

Mohanty, S., Basri, G., Shu, F., Allard, F., & Chabrier, G. 2002, ApJ, 571, 469

Mullan, D. J. 2010, ApJ, 721, 1034

Pineda, J. S., Hallinan, G., Kirkpatrick, J. D., et al. 2016, ApJ, 826, 73

Ramsay, G., & Doyle, J. G. 2015, MNRAS, 449, 3015

Ramsay, G., Doyle, J. G., Hakala, P., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 434, 2451

Ramsay, G., Hakala, P., & Doyle, J. G. 2015, MNRAS, 453, 1484

Reiners, A., & Basri, G. 2008, ApJ, 684, 1390

Schmidt, S. J., Hawley, S. L., West, A. A., et al. 2015, AJ, 149, 158

Schmidt, S. J., West, A. A., Bochanski, J. J., Hawley, S. L., & Kielty, C. 2014, PASP, 126, 642

Schmidt, S. J., Shappee, B. J., Gagné, J., et al. 2016, ApJL, 828, L22

Schneider, D. P., Knapp, G. R., Hawley, S. L., et al. 2002, AJ, 123, 458

Silverberg, S. M., Kowalski, A. F., Davenport, J. R. A., et al. 2016, ApJ, 829, 129

Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163

Stelzer, B., Damasso, M., Scholz, A., & Matt, S. P. 2016, MNRAS, arXiv:1608.00772

Walkowicz, L. M., Basri, G., Batalha, N., et al. 2011, AJ, 141, 50

Wright, E. L., Eisenhardt, P. R. M., Mainzer, A. K., et al. 2010, AJ, 140, 1868